FROM :

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAY 1 5 2006

Fax Message

....

Date:

May 15, 2006

To:

USPTO

From:

Bill Jamieson

Message: Resubmission of amendment from 12/7/2005

Total pages including cover page: 14

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 1 5 2006

Date: May 15, 2006

To: Jessica Laux

From: William Maurice Jamieson

Re: Application No.: 10/628,100

Art Unit: 3635

Message:

I recently received notification that my application for a patent was abandoned for failing to respond to the Office letter dated September 12, 2005 within the three (3) month response period.

I had a telephone interview with Roland Bergeron and immediately sent my amendment to your office. I received a fax confirmation on 12/7/2005 at 1:43 pm EST that my amended application did in fact arrive at your office prior to the expiration of the three (3) month response period. My amended response to your letter consisted of a total of twelve (12) pages including the cover page.

Your letter comes as a total shock to me as I was expecting the patent to be granted as opposed to being abandoned. I would like an explanation for this and also request information as to how I should proceed. I am enclosing a second copy of my amended application and expect some feedback regarding same.

I hope you can appreciate how this is impacting me at this point. Please keep me informed as to the status of my application.

Jancison

Yours truly,

William Maurice Jamieson

FROM:

FAX NO. :4162479124 12/7/2005 1:0/ PM PAGE

17001

May. 15 2006 09:21PM P5

):Auto-reply fax to 4162479124 COMPANY:

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission

MAY 15 2003



TO:

Fax Sender at 4162479124

Fax Information Date Received: Total Pages:

12/7/2005 1:00:43 PM [Eastern Standard Time]

12 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also edvised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received Cover Page

		FAX MESSAG	E		
t ter meaning					
	Date:	Docamber 7, 2005			
	To:	USPTO			
	Fram	Bill Jerniceon			
	Mossago:	Amendment to Application No. 10/628,100	medicer		
	Total Paget	tholoding Cover Page: 12			
			·		

FAX MESSAGE

Date:

December 7, 2005

To:

USPTO

From:

Bill Jamieson

Message:

Amendment to Application No. 10/628,100 enclosed

Total Pages Including Cover Page: 12

FAX NO. :4162479124

May. 15 2006 09:21PM P4
RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 1 5 2006



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tendemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Almandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.impto.gov

28/2003	William Maurice Jamieson		
		4295	
04/28/2006	EXAMINER		
JAMIESON	LAUX, JESSICA L		
202		APTIDUE	PAPER NUMBER
384			
	04/28/2006 JAMIESON 382	JAMIESON	JAMIESON LAUX, 18

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FAX NO. :4162479124

May. 15 2006 RECEIVED

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 1 5 2006

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Notice of Abandonment	10/628,100	JAMIESON, WILLIAM MAURICE					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jessica Laux	3635					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-							
This application is abandoned in view of:							
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Network period for reply (including a total extension of time of 	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on), which is after the					
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does							
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).							
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).							
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.							
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).							
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).							
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance							
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$		CFR 1.18(d), is \$.				
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n	ot been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).							
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.							
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.							
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. The applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	interest, or all of				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 							
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim 	rence rendered on and because ms.	se the period for sec	eking court review				
7. The reason(s) below:	U.S. N.S. Prim	SCL					
	N.Sl	ack					
N.	Prim	any					
७ ∖	,	Š					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraminimize any negative effects on patent term.	aw the holding of abandonment under 37	CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to				